

data management/accessability + procurement

A) Council conclusions: with AT

1) procurement:

- showcase ; experiences NANOREG
60 FAIR
- requirements EU Com + member states: FAIR
- compare with ECHA or other criteria → define common requirements
connect with Science Europ. action 11 part of proposal RUM
GOV4nano

2) data mgt/accessability/interoperability/usability

- enanomapper logical tool, proposal June 2017
- + ECHA can do it (expand ~~an~~ observatory)
- long term vision/commitment with resources

B) engage European Parliament

- more benefits than costs ^{at few million €}
- arguments for 1 and 2: 200 mln spend on nanosafety
without data, open data, economic spilloff
- concrete recommendations → not escape, see 15 principles
FAIR
- foundations for S&D
• reduce animal testing
- identify forum for FP-9
+ report Caracal
- encourage industry to use platform under 2) →
flexible to include guidances, SOPs etc.

Tochem wd Woals Mintenw

Procurement Rules
requiring data in similar
format / Ontology

④

Apply FAIR to data
for accessibility

⑤

See 15 principles
of FAIR

FAIR
Findable
Accessible
Interoperable
Reusable